



1 judgment in full in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants Brocious and Ochoa (Doc.  
2 73).

3 In the Response filed by Defendants, counsel attaches a printout from the U.S.  
4 District Court of Arizona website showing his admission and active status (Doc. 79).  
5 Subsequently, Plaintiff filed her Motion to Withdraw in which she indicates a computer  
6 “glitch” was to blame for her inability to locate defense counsel on the District Court  
7 website (Doc 87, ¶6). Despite searching the website three times for Steven Ford, Plaintiff  
8 received the same message, “the query returned no matches” (Doc. 87, ¶2). Based upon  
9 this inability to verify that defense counsel was admitted to practice in the District Court  
10 of Arizona, Plaintiff submitted the Motion to Quash (Doc. 87, ¶4).

11 In light of the evidence that defense counsel Steven Ford is admitted to practice in  
12 the District Court of Arizona, the Court will grant the withdrawal of Plaintiff’s Motion to  
13 Quash.

14 Accordingly,

15 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED DENYING** Plaintiff’s Motion and Affidavit with  
16 Exhibits to Quash Replies, Memorandums, etc., Motion for Judgment in Full, and Motion  
17 to Recover Services Expenses (Doc. 73).

18 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED GRANTING** Motion to Withdraw Judicial  
19 Notice, Special Motion, Motion for Judgment (In Reference to Doc. 73 Only) (Doc. 87).

20 DATED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2008.

21  
22   
23 \_\_\_\_\_  
24 Stephen M. McNamee  
25 United States District Judge  
26  
27  
28